

M03A201

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES P	ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
	Examiner: posite Coating Containing Tantalum Deposition
Commissioner for Patents	4400
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-	-1450
STAT	TUS INQUIRY
WARINING: Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 3 111–112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG
1. More than 18 months have pa	assed since
■ NEW APPLICATIONS	
the filing of this application of	onAugust 19, 2003
	received from the Patent and Trademark Office
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
the filing of a response on _	<u></u>
No further communication had Office.	as been received from the Patent and Trademark
□ APPEALED APPLICATION	•
The Appeal Brief was file	ed on
(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; I certification is optional.)
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, the	nis correspondence is being:
	MAILING
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	se in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) With sufficient postage as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 * ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
with our our postage as mot class man.	Mailing Label No (mandatory)
TF	RANSMISSION
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trade	mark Office, (703)
	Bethy Lee
Color July -1	Signature
Date: February 24, 2005	Ratty Ioo
·	Betty Lee (type or print name of person certifying)
	(a)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)

☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

"NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

"AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Date: Feb 23, 2005

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.: 31,147

Ira Lee Zebrak

(type or print name (of practitioner)

The BOC Group, Inc.

Tel. No.: (908) 771-6469

<u>Legal Services-Intellectual Property</u>

P.O. Address

575 Mountain Ave.

Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Customer No.: 020411

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

ATION	SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
ASSI	GNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
	ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
	APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
	
NO.	
IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFEF ENCES	
	DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
	DECISION EXPECTED
	NO IS AW ENCE